

# A semidefinite programming approach to a cross-intersection problem with measures

Hajime Tanaka

(joint work with Sho Suda and Norihide Tokushige)

Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics  
Graduate School of Information Sciences  
Tohoku University

August 13, 2015  
Systems of Lines

# Basic problem

- $\Omega$  : a finite set
- $G$  : a (simple) graph with  $V(G) = \Omega$
- $\alpha(G)$  : the independence number of  $G$   
:=  $\max \{ |U| : U \subset \Omega : \text{independent (i.e., no edge inside)} \}$

## Problem

- Find a good **upper bound** on  $\alpha(G)$ .

# An SDP relaxation

- $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega} = \{\text{real matrices indexed by } \Omega\}$
- $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega} = \{\text{real column vectors indexed by } \Omega\}$
- $S\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega} = \{\text{symmetric matrices in } \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}\}$
  
- $X \succeq 0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X : \text{positive semidefinite}$
- $Y \bullet Z := \text{trace}(Y^T Z)$
  
- $I \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega} : \text{the identity matrix}$
- $J \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega} : \text{the all ones matrix}$
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega} : \text{the adjacency matrix of } G:$

$$A_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \sim y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# An SDP relaxation

- $U \subset \Omega$  : independent
- $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$  : the characteristic vector of  $U$ :

$$\varphi_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $X := \frac{1}{|U|} \varphi \varphi^\top \in S\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}$ 
  - $X \succcurlyeq 0$ ,  $X \geq 0$  (non-negative)
  - $I \bullet X = \frac{1}{|U|} \varphi^\top \varphi = 1$ ,  $A \bullet X = \frac{1}{|U|} \varphi^\top A \varphi = 0$
  - $J \bullet X = \frac{1}{|U|} \varphi^\top J \varphi = |U|$

- Consider the following SDP problem in primal standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P): } \vartheta' = \max_X & J \bullet X, \quad X \in S\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}, \\ & I \bullet X = 1, \quad A \bullet X = 0, \\ & X \succcurlyeq 0, \quad X \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Then  $|U| \leq \vartheta'$ .

## Remark

- $\vartheta'$  = the strengthening of Lovász's  $\vartheta$ -function bound due to Schrijver (1979)

$$I \bullet X = 1, \quad A \bullet X = 0, \quad X \succcurlyeq 0, \quad X \succeq 0$$

- A feasible solution to the dual problem provides an upper bound on  $|U|$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(D): } \vartheta' &= \min_{\alpha, \gamma, S, Z} \alpha, & \alpha, \gamma &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad S, Z \in \text{SR}^{\Omega \times \Omega}, \\ & & \alpha I - J &= S + Z + \gamma A, \\ & & S &\succcurlyeq 0, \quad Z \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof (of weak duality).

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - J \bullet X &= \alpha I \bullet X - J \bullet X \\ &= S \bullet X + Z \bullet X + \gamma A \bullet X \\ &= S \bullet X + Z \bullet X \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$



# Delsarte's LP bound (1972, 1973)

- If  $I, J, A \in \exists$  **Bose–Mesner algebra**, then (P), (D) reduce to **LP** [Schrijver (1979)] !!

## Example (Delsarte (1972))

- Bounds on codes in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n \longrightarrow$  **Hamming scheme**  $H(n, q)$

## Example (Erdős–Ko–Rado (1961); Wilson (1984))

- $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- some conditions on  $n, k, t$
- $U \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$  :  **$t$ -intersecting**, i.e.,  $|x \cap y| \geq t$  ( $\forall x, y \in U$ )
- Then  $|U| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}$ .
- $|U| = \binom{n-t}{k-t} \iff \exists z \in \binom{[n]}{t}$  s.t.

$$U = \left\{ x \in \binom{[n]}{k} : z \subset x \right\}$$

→ **Johnson scheme**  $J(n, k)$



# My motivation

- Bose–Mesner algebra : **commutative** [SDP  $\rightarrow$  LP]
- Consider cases where the underlying algebras are **non-commutative**!!

## Example (Schrijver (2005); Gijswijt–Schrijver–T. (2006))

- SDP bounds on codes in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  based on the **Terwilliger algebra** of  $H(n, q)$  [Key idea:  $X = X' + X''$  (matrix-cut)]

## Example (Bachoc–Vallentin (2008))

- new proof of  $k(4) = 24$  using Schrijver’s method (originally due to Musin (2008)).

kissing number in  $\mathbb{R}^4$

# A two-step generalization of the problem

- $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  : non-empty finite sets
- $\widehat{\Omega} := \Omega_1 \sqcup \Omega_2$
- $G$  : a bipartite graph with bipartition  $V(G) = \widehat{\Omega} = \Omega_1 \sqcup \Omega_2$
- $U_1 \subset \Omega_1, U_2 \subset \Omega_2$  : **cross-independent** in  $G$   
 $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} U_1 \sqcup U_2$  : independent in  $G$
- $\mu_i$  : a probability measure on  $\Omega_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )

## Problem

- Find a good **upper bound** on  $\mu_1(U_1)\mu_2(U_2)$  for cross-independent  $U_1 \subset \Omega_1, U_2 \subset \Omega_2$ .

# A two-step generalization of the problem

## Example (Pyber (1986); Matsumoto–Tokushige (1989))

- $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- some conditions on  $n, k, \ell$
- $U_1 \in \binom{[n]}{k}, U_2 \in \binom{[n]}{\ell}$  : **cross-intersecting**, i.e.,  $x \cap y \neq \emptyset$   
( $\forall x \in U_1, \forall y \in U_2$ )
- Then  $|U_1||U_2| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} \binom{n-1}{\ell-1}$ .
- $|U_1||U_2| = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \binom{n-1}{\ell-1}$   
 $\iff \exists r \in [n]$  s.t.  $U_1 = \left\{ x \in \binom{[n]}{k} : r \in x \right\}, U_2 = \left\{ y \in \binom{[n]}{\ell} : r \in y \right\}$

## Remark

- $\exists$ SDP-based proof:  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1}$  [Suda–T. (2014)]
- Here, we consider a **coherent algebra** with two fibers.

# A generalization of Schrijver's $\mathcal{V}'$

- $\mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega} \times \widehat{\Omega}} = \{\text{real matrices indexed by } \widehat{\Omega}\}$
- $\mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega}} = \{\text{real column vectors indexed by } \widehat{\Omega}\}$
- $S\mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega} \times \widehat{\Omega}} = \{\text{symmetric matrices in } \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega} \times \widehat{\Omega}}\}$
- $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega_i \times \Omega_j} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega} \times \widehat{\Omega}}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega_i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\widehat{\Omega}}$  : defined in the same manner
- $\Delta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega_i \times \Omega_i}$  : the diagonal matrix with

$$(\Delta_i)_{x,x} = \mu_i(\{x\})$$

- $J_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega_i \times \Omega_j}$  : the all ones matrix
- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}$  : the adjacency matrix of  $G$

# A generalization of Schrijver's $\mathcal{V}'$

- $U_1 \subset \Omega_1, U_2 \subset \Omega_2$  : cross-independent
- $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega_i}$  : the characteristic vector of  $U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )
- $X := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1(U_1)}} \varphi_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2(U_2)}} \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_1(U_1)}} \varphi_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_2(U_2)}} \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \in S\mathbb{R}^{\hat{\Omega} \times \hat{\Omega}}$ 
  - $X \succcurlyeq 0, \quad X \geq 0$
  - $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X = 1, \quad A \bullet X = 0$
  - $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1 J_{1,2} \Delta_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_2 J_{2,1} \Delta_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X = \sqrt{\mu_1(U_1) \mu_2(U_2)}$

# A generalization of Schrijver's $\vartheta'$

- Consider the following SDP problem in primal standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(P): } \hat{\vartheta}' &= \max_X \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 J_{1,2} \Delta_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 J_{2,1} \Delta_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X, \quad X \in S\mathbb{R}^{\hat{\Omega} \times \hat{\Omega}}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet X = 1, \quad A \bullet X = 0, \\ & X \succcurlyeq 0, \quad X \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Then  $\sqrt{\mu_1(U_1)\mu_2(U_2)} \leq \hat{\vartheta}'$ .

- A feasible solution to the dual problem provides an upper bound on  $\sqrt{\mu_1(U_1)\mu_2(U_2)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(D): } \widehat{\mathcal{V}'} &= \min_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, S, Z} \alpha + \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, S, Z \in S\mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}, \\ &\begin{bmatrix} \alpha\Delta_1 & -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 J_{1,2}\Delta_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 J_{2,1}\Delta_1 & \beta\Delta_2 \end{bmatrix} = S + Z + \gamma A, \\ &S \succcurlyeq 0, Z \succcurlyeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

# Main result; an application of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$

- $2^{[n]}$  : the power set of  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  : copies of  $2^{[n]}$
- $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in (0, 1)^n$
- $\mu_1 = \mu_{\mathbf{p}} : 2^{\Omega_1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  : a **product measure** on  $\Omega_1$ :

$$\mu_1(U) := \sum_{x \in U} \prod_{r \in x} p_r \prod_{s \in [n] \setminus x} (1 - p_s) \quad (U \subset \Omega_1)$$

[Note:  $\mu_1(\Omega_1) = (p_1 + (1 - p_1)) \cdots (p_n + (1 - p_n)) = 1$ ]

- $\mu_2 = \mu_{\mathbf{q}} : 2^{\Omega_2} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  : a **product measure** on  $\Omega_2$



## Theorem (Suda–T.–Tokushige (2015))

- *Suppose*

- $p_1 = \max\{p_r : r \in [n]\}$ ,  $q_1 = \max\{q_r : r \in [n]\}$
- $p_r, q_r \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ( $\forall r \geq 2$ ).

- $U_1 \subset \Omega_1, U_2 \subset \Omega_2$  : *cross-intersecting*

- *Then*  $\mu_1(U_1)\mu_2(U_2) \leq p_1q_1$ .

- *If*  $\mu_1(U_1)\mu_2(U_2) = p_1q_1$  *then*  $\exists r \in w$  *s.t.*

$$U_1 = \{x \in \Omega_1 : r \in x\}, \quad U_2 = \{y \in \Omega_2 : r \in y\}$$

*unless*  $p_1 = q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  *and*  $|w| \geq 3$ , *where*

$$w = w_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} := \{r \in [n] : (p_r, q_r) = (p_1, q_1)\}.$$

## Remark

- The theorem generalizes and strengthens a result of Fishburn–Frankl–Freed–Lagarias–Odlyzko (1986) for intersecting families.
- Partial results were obtained previously:
  - Tokushige (2010) :  $\frac{1}{2} > p_1 = \cdots = p_n, \frac{1}{2} > q_1 = \cdots = q_n$
  - Borg (2012) :  $\frac{1}{2} \geq p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_n, \frac{1}{2} \geq q_1 \geq \cdots \geq q_n$  (not precise)

- In fact, the SDP method works only when  $p_1, q_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and invokes an idea of Friedgut (2008):
  - Find a “nice” feasible solution when  $n = 1$ ;
  - Construct feasible solutions for general  $n$  by taking “tensor products”.
- When  $p_1 > \frac{1}{2}$  or  $q_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ , the proof is reduced to the above case by considering

$$\tilde{p} := \left( \max_{r \geq 2} p_r, p_2, \dots, p_n \right), \quad \tilde{q} := \left( \max_{r \geq 2} q_r, q_2, \dots, q_n \right),$$

which is an idea of Fishburn et al. (1986).